

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/589,615	06/04/2007	Masaki Kaneda	0670-7082	8671		
31780 Robinson Inte	7590 07/07/201 Hectual Property Law O		EXAM	IINER		
3975 Fair Ridg	ge Drive	MAWARI, R	MAWARI, REDHWAN K			
Suite 20 North Fairfax, VA 2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
,			3663			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			07/07/2010	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/589,615	KANEDA ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
REDHWAN MAWARI	3663			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

eamed	patent	temi	adjustin	ent.	See 3/	CFR	1.704(D).

camed patent term adjustment, oce of or it 1.704(b).	
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 March	<u>2010</u> .
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action	on is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance e	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Diamonisian of Claima	
Disposition of Claims	
 Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application. 	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from	om consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or elec	ction requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 August 2006 is/are: a) ■ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	Assessed or bV objected to by the Evaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing	
	required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir	
The bath of declaration is objected to by the Examin	ier. Note the attached office Action of John 1 To-102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	
 Certified copies of the priority documents have 	ve been received.
Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received in Application No
Copies of the certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PC	CT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	e certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Treferences Cited (FTO-032) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
3) A Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Action :	Summary Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20100629
Office Patient	

Art Unit: 3663

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 09 2010 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being as being anticipated by Morita (6,119,095).

Consider claim 1, Morita discloses a guidance route search device, the device comprising: a route point specifying unit adapted to specify multiple route

Art Unit: 3663

points to which a user is to be guided before reaching a destination point (abstract); and a selecting unit adapted to select a guidance schedule which defines possible sequences of visiting orders for all the multiple route points by a preset time of arrival at the destination point and a staying time period at each of the route points (abstract), wherein the staying time period at each of the route points is established to have a possible maximal value within the maximum staying time predetermined for that route point (abstract);

a determination unit adapted to determine whether there is spare time other than the staying time at the route points and the traveling time among the route points; and

an adjustment unit adapted to add a part or all of the spare time to the staying time at one or more specific route point, if it is determined that there is the spare time, thereby increasing the staying time at the specific route point (see at least FIG. 5 and FIG. 6A-6C, col. 9, lines 52-67, wherein FIG. 5 shows free time slots or spare time at different route points; FIG. 6 shows said slots being filled for example FIG. 6A shows meal and shopping. Furthermore, col. 10, lines 30-34 discloses a single visiting place which is construed as a specific route point, wherein staying time is adjusted based on the spare time left).

Consider claim 2, Morita discloses a guidance route search device, the device comprising: a route point specifying unit adapted to specify multiple route points to which a user is to be guided before reaching a destination point (col. 7, lines 58-67):

Art Unit: 3663

a temporary determination unit adapted to determine staying time periods at each of the multiple route points based on staying possible time prespecified for each route point (col. 7, lines 4-67);

a determination unit adapted to make determination about propriety of a guidance schedule in which the multiple route points are passed through, based on each of the determined staying time periods at the multiple route points and traveling time among the route points; and an adjustment unit adapted-to adjust the staying time at least

at one route point in response to the result of determination by the determination unit (col. 7, lines 4-67, col. 8, lines 1-33);

wherein the determination unit operates to determine whether there is spare time other than the staying time at the route points and the traveling time among the route points, and

wherein if it is determined by the determination unit that there is spare time, the adjustment unit operates to add a part or all of the spare time to the staying time at one or more specific route point, thereby increasing the staying time at the specific route point (see at least FIG. 5 and FIG. 6A-6C, col. 9, lines 52-67, wherein FIG. 5 shows free time slots or spare time at different route points; FIG. 6 shows said slots being filled for example FIG. 6A shows meal and shopping. Furthermore, col. 10, lines 30-34 discloses a single visiting place which is construed as a specific route point, wherein staying time is adjusted based on the spare time left).

Art Unit: 3663

Consider claim 3, Morita discloses wherein

the determination unit operates to determine whether the guidance schedule in which the multiple route points are passed through is possible or not; and

if it is determined by the determination unit that the guidance schedule in which the multiple route points are passed through is not possible, the adjustment unit operates to reduce the staying time at least at one route point (col. 7, lines 4-67, col. 8, lines 1-33).

Consider claim 4, Morita discloses wherein the prespecified staying time is specified within the range of a trip time period from departure time of a trip for dropping into the multiple route points to time of arrival at a destination point (col. 7, lines 4-67).

Consider claim 5, Morita discloses wherein

the prespecified staying time is specified within a range of a trip time period from departure time of a trip for dropping into the multiple route points to arrival time (col. 7, lines 4-67);

Consider claim 6, Morita discloses wherein the device further comprising:

a display unit adapted to display the guidance schedule for the multiple
route points adjusted by the adjustment unit (FIG.1)

an input unit adapted to operate in order to change the guidance schedule displayed on the display unit (FIG.1); and

Art Unit: 3663

a change unit adapted to change the guidance schedule in response to a change operation with the input unit and causing the display unit to display the changed guidance schedule (FIG.1).

Consider claim 7. Morita discloses wherein

time to start staying and/or the staying time prespecified for each route point is specified based on at least one among the route point, type of the route point, user, utilization group, time of year for utilization and user age (abstract).

Consider claim 8, 9, 10 and 11, claims 8, 10 and 11 are rejected using the same art and rationale used to reject claim 1.

Note: Claims 1-11 include the statements of intended use or field of use,[a]"adapted to" or "adapted to" clauses, b) "operate" clauses, or c) "whereby"] clauses are essentially method limitations or statements or intended or desired use. Thus, these claims as well as other statements of intended use do not serve to patentably distinguish the claimed structure over that of the reference. See In re Pearson, 181 USPQ 641; In re Yanush, 177 USPQ 705; In re Finsterwalder, 168 USPQ 530; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458; Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ 2nd 1647.

See MPEP § 2114 which states:

A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from the prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. Exparte Masham, 2 USPQ 2nd 1647

Art Unit: 3663

Claims directed to apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than functions. In re Danly, 120 USPQ 528, 531.

Apparatus claims cover what a device is not what a device does. Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528.

As set forth in MPEP § 2115, a recitation in a claim to the material or article worked upon does not serve to limit an apparatus claim.

Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Redhwan Mawari whose telephone number is 571 270 1535. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 5PM Mon-Fri Eastern Alt Fri

Art Unit: 3663

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reaches at 571-272 6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

06/29/2010

/R. M./

Examiner, Art Unit 3663

/Tuan C To/ Primary Examiner July 3, 2010 Application/Control Number: 10/589,615 Page 9

Art Unit: 3663